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Abstract

Introduction: The concept of a dual mobility (DM) cup has been in existence for more than 40 years and was initially popularized in Europe. Only recently has it started to garner attention in the United States. Its design, consisting of a small femoral head articulating within a larger polyethylene (PE) insert, which articulates with an outer shell, has found increasing use in patients that are at risk for post-operative dislocations. This case report describes a case of recurrent total hip arthroplasty (THA) dislocation managed with the implantation of a DM cup with an acute intraprosthetic dislocation of the DM construct.

Case Report: A 52-year-old woman underwent an uneventful left THA through a posterior approach. Within 6 weeks of her surgery, she had four dislocations, managed with closed reductions. She then underwent an open revision of her acetabular component with conversion to a DM construct. 5 weeks after her revision, she had another dislocation treated with closed reduction in the operating room, with the resultant eccentric location of the femoral head in the acetabular component. Computed tomography (CT) confirmed intraprosthetic dislocation with the PE liner lodged in the gluteal tissue. She was taken to the operating room with a plan to revise her acetabular component to another DM construct, but with an increase in the anteversion despite initial anteversion being “appropriate” on CT imaging. Intraoperatively, the PE liner was embedded in gluteal tissue as depicted on the CT. The abductors were intact. There was no impingement in extension and maximal external rotation when trialed with a conventional head/liner construct. A new DM construct was then implanted.

Conclusion: Intraprosthetic dislocation is a rare occurrence and unique complication to DM constructs. It is not common in the short-term setting postoperatively to our knowledge, and this case report represents an early report of intraprosthetic dislocation in the literature. Care should be taken during reduction of dislocated DM constructs to avoid intraprosthetic dislocations. Open revisions can be managed with revisions to another DM construct or to a constrained liner construct to maximize stability.
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What to Learn from this Article?

Dual mobility cups can be used to provide increased stability for patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty, but intraprosthetic dislocation can still occur. In the setting of a dislocated total hip arthroplasty with a dual-mobility cup treated with closed reduction, surgeons should scrutinize post-reduction radiographs for signs of intraprosthetic dislocation and consider revision of components if acetabular cup position is the cause of dislocation.
Introduction

Dislocations represent one of the more common post-operative complications seen after total hip arthroplasty (THA) with estimates ranging from 0.2% to 7% in primary cases and as high as 25% in revision surgeries [1]. In the early 1970s, Giles Bousquet developed a dual mobility (DM) acetabular cup with the goal of reducing dislocation rates. The DM design consists of two articulations: A large diameter polyethylene (PE) articulation with a metal cup and a smaller articulation between a modular femoral head and the PE liner [2]. In a DM construct, when impingement of the smaller articulation occurs, an additional range of motion is available through the articulation of the PE liner within the metal cup. Thus, DM constructs increase the head-neck ratio, and also increase the jump distance; this effectively decreases the dislocation rate of THA with this construct [3].

While introduced over 40 years ago in Europe, only recently has the DM construct been introduced in the United States market. There are currently several different DM constructs available in the United States to include the anatomic DM (Stryker Orthopaedics, Mahwah, New Jersey) and modular DM (Stryker Orthopaedics, Mahwah, New Jersey), the POLARcUP (Smith & Nephew, Memphis, Tennessee), and the active articulation (Biomet Orthopaedics, Warsaw, Indiana). Although the goal of these constructs is to reduce the dislocation, there are a few reports in the literature that detail a complication unique to DM constructs – intraprosthetic dislocation. Intraprosthetic dislocation, also known as retentive failure, is a form of dislocation that occurs when the femoral head has dissociated from the mobile-bearing PE liner often times causing the large PE liner to be lodged in soft tissue. This is typically a medium to long-term complication [3, 4].

Case Report

A 52-year-old woman with a history of alcohol abuse underwent an uneventful left THA through a posterior approach. This was complicated by four dislocations, managed with closed reductions, within 6 weeks of her surgery. She underwent an open revision of her acetabular component with conversion to a DM construct (Stryker, Mahwah, New Jersey).

Five weeks after her revision procedure, her left leg was noted to be shorter than the contralateral leg 4 days after admission to an outside hospital for altered mental status. Radiographs confirmed a dislocation. A closed reduction was attempted in the operating room with the resultant eccentric location of the femoral head in the acetabular component (Fig. 1). She was transferred to our facility for definitive treatment. Computed tomography (CT) confirmed intraprosthetic dislocation with the PE liner lodged in the gluteal tissue (Fig. 2a and b). Anteversion of the acetabular component was measured to be about 15°. She was taken to the operating room with plan to revise her acetabular component to another Stryker DM construct, but with an increase in the anteversion despite initial anteversion being “appropriate” on CT imaging. Her femoral stem (Synergy; Smith and Nephew, Memphis, Tennessee) was well fixed and appropriately anteverted; it was left in place. Intraoperatively, the PE liner was embedded in gluteal tissue as depicted on the CT. There was no evidence of damage to the liner. The abductors were intact. There was no impingement in extension and maximal external rotation (after implantation of new acetabular component in increased anteversion) when trialed with a conventional head/liner construct. A new DM construct was then implanted (Fig. 3a and b) with a new femoral head; post-operative recovery was uneventful. The patient did well for 18 months, was able to lose her ambulatory aid when walking, and able to walk without significant pain issues. Unfortunately, she developed a chronic infection after 18 months and was managed with a resection arthroplasty and implantation of an antibiotic spacer.

Discussion

Intraprosthetic dislocation is usually a medium to late-term complication [3, 4]. In these cases, it is thought to be secondary to wear at the liner/head interface decreasing the retaining power of the PE liner and resulting in dislocation of the prosthetic head/PE liner [4]. There are only a few reports of early intraprosthetic dislocations in the literature. In these
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Conclusion

Intraprosthetic dislocation is a rare occurrence and unique complication to DM constructs. It very rarely occurs in the acute post-operative period to our knowledge; this case report represents one of the earliest reports of intraprosthetic dislocation in the literature. Care should be taken in reducing dislocated DM constructs so as not to cause intraprosthetic dislocations and post-reduction radiographs should be gotten and properly scrutinized for intraprosthetic dislocations. Open revisions can be managed with revisions to another DM construct or to a constrained liner construct to maximize stability.

Clinical Message

DM cups can be used to provide increased stability for patients undergoing THA, but intraprosthetic dislocation can occur secondary to failure of retentive PE liner, aseptic loosening, or after closed reduction of a dislocation. In the setting of a dislocated THA with a dual-mobility cup treated with closed reduction, surgeons should scrutinize post-reduction radiographs for signs of intraprosthetic dislocation and consider revision of components if acetabular cup position is the cause of dislocation.
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