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Abstract

Introduction: Innovations in the design of total hip arthroplasty components have been developed to address certain limitations with the use of standard monoblock prosthesis. With increasing use and long-term follow up, certain complications particularly related to fretting, corrosion and fatigue have been recognized.

Case Report: A 31 year old active male patient presented with spontaneous dissociation of the Anatomic Medullary Locking A Plus (AML A Plus) Femoral Component at head and neck interface 10 years after surgery. At revision surgery, wear of the acetabular liner and head and neck taper was noted. Definitive treatment required complete revision of the femoral component and change of acetabular liner.

Conclusion: While modularity allows change of worn out components, this case highlights the importance of various factors in avoiding this complication and the need for surgeon to be prepared to use ‘taper sleeves’ or revise the components if taper exchange fails particularly in cases with dissociation of head-neck interface which is usually associated with taper damage.
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Introduction

The total hip replacement implants have evolved over time. Modularity of implants was developed to address some of the shortcomings of the initial monoblock prosthesis to allow intraoperative adjustment of the offset, leg length, and enhance abductor muscle function. However with increasing use of these prostheses, problems like component failure due to fretting, corrosion and fatigue have been recognized [1]. Recently Cooper et al have reported adverse local tissue reaction secondary to corrosion at the modular femoral head-neck taper similar to that noted in metal-on-metal bearings [2]. Dissociation of modular components is a rare but a well recognized complication with the use of modular prosthesis. The dissociation may occur at the head-neck or neck-stem interface [1]. We report a case of dissociation of the head and neck component of a modular prosthesis 10 years after surgery. At revision surgery and on retrieval of implants wear at the head and neck interface and acetabular liner was confirmed to be the cause of the dissociation.

Case report

A 21 years old male underwent uncemented modular total hip arthroplasty in 2002 for secondary arthritis of the left hip. The implants used were AML A PLUS 11.0 mm femoral stem, 28 mm COCR head
Retrieval studies have shown that the incidence of crevice corrosion at dislocation [4-8], following trauma [7] or even normal activity [7,9-11]. Modularity also allows replacement of part in case of revision [1,3]. Intra-operatively, attempts at attaching the new femoral head component with 2 different trunion changes failed, hence the full coated femoral stem was removed using proximal femur split. Femoral component was revised in full and acetabular liner was changed as the shell was well fixed.

Two years after revision surgery, patient reported no pain and was ambulating without support. The extended femoral osteotomy had healed well. There was evidence of heterotopic ossification resulting in some restriction of movements (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Modularity of total hip replacement components allows the surgeon to restore the hip joint biomechanics to obtain improved range of motion, joint stability, soft tissue balancing, abductor strength, and leg length equality. The modularity can be at femoral head-neck interface, neck-stem interface or at both levels (dual modular). In addition to the above modularity also allows replacement of part in case of revision [1,3]. Dissociation of the modular femoral component at the head-neck interface is a rare complication and has been reported mostly in the form of case reports, during attempt at closed reduction in cases with dislocation [4-8], following trauma [7] or even normal activity [7,9-11]. Retrieval studies have shown that the incidence of crevice corrosion at the head and neck interface between mixed metal systems is higher at 35-40% compared to 9-28% in single alloy systems [12,13]. The degree of corrosion is less with titanium based components [14] but Kop et al [3] have reported cold welding of the components. Various risk factors for corrosion at the head-neck interface particularly in mixed metal implants [2,3,12,13,15]. Various mechanisms can lead to corrosion at the head-neck taper. Crevice corrosion can result from fluid entering into a small gap between components. Fretting corrosion appears to be a major source of failure at modular junctions caused by micro-movement between the components. Galvanic corrosion can occur when fluid is present in mixed-metal components [1]. Corrosion can lead to third body wear and surrounding tissue reaction from particulate debris [12]. Cooper et al [2] have reported adverse local tissue reaction in patients with metal-on-polyethylene modular bearings similar to that reported with metal-on-metal bearing. Inflammatory response resulting from products of corrosion can lead to local osteolysis, pseudotumor formation and synovitis [13,16]. Thus, corrosion can affect the mechanical integrity of the implants secondary to the above mentioned processes.

A possible mechanism suggested for dissociation of the modular components is a strong distraction force at the junction when the neck flange is caught at the acetabular rim and inadequate impaction of the head on the neck taper [5]. Lavernia et al [17] have suggested that the presence of debris such as blood and fat places the head-neck taper at risk of dissociation at forces lower than those required to disrupt a pristine junction. The initial strength of the head-neck assembly or taper is also determined by the impaction force at surgery [18]. Various risk factors for corrosion at modular interfaces have been reported in the literature. Improper or asymmetric fit of the head on the Morse taper can cause accelerated corrosion and failure [13,19]. Other factors identified have been larger femoral heads, extended offset heads with a lower neck shaft angle in metal on metal components [20]. It is also dependent on time and the mechanical stress placed on the taper [3,21]. In another retrieval study Grupp et al [22] have confirmed that the failure at the modular neck junction can occur due to surface micro-motion resulting from surface contamination or high loading of the implant components. Both of these processes can lead to fretting or crevice corrosion in the modular coupling. The authors also identified the risk factors for implant failure as intraoperative particle contamination, excessive loading due to patients’ weight, high activity level and male gender.

In a recent review, Wassef and Schmalzried [23] have referred to the
fretting and corrosion seen in modular femoral head-neck junction as ‘femoral taperosis’. The authors have highlighted certain factors contributing to corrosion. Large femoral heads have been increasingly used to improve range of movements and reduce chances of dislocation. Similarly the neck and taper dimensions have also been reduced. Both of these factors can contribute to corrosion. Total hip replacement in young active patients increases the stresses on the taper.

In the present case multiple risk factors for corrosion and dissociation can be recognized. At surgery wear of the polyethylene acetabular liner was noted together with corrosion at the taper. The patient was young with higher activity level thereby exposing the modular prosthesis to high mechanical loading and had his primary surgery done 10 years before the dissociation. Though it is difficult to confirm or refute, human error including, intra-operative factors like surface contamination, asymmetrical fit of the head may have contributed to the corrosion at the head-neck interface.

The present case adds to the limited number of cases of spontaneous dissociation of a modular head-neck interface with discussion of its possible mechanism. It was observed in traditional low torque 28 mm head with similar head and neck metal composition. While modularity allows change of worn out components, this case highlights the need for surgeon to be prepared to use ‘taper sleeves’ or revise the stem if taper exchange fails particularly in cases with dissociation of head-neck interface which is usually associated with taper damage. The importance of factors like cleanliness of the taper junction, avoiding pairing of head and neck components of dissimilar metals, correct impaction and awareness of manufacturing tolerances is also stressed.

In a recent study, Duwelius et al. [24] found no difference in the clinical outcome or chance of complication between patients undergoing primary total hip replacement using modular and non-modular neck systems. Though any recommendations about use of the prosthesis cannot be made on the basis of this single case, close monitoring of trunnion and analysis of clinical results and complications with the implant should be done.

**Conclusion**

With the increasing use of modular total hip prosthesis and their long term followup, arthroplasty surgeons are likely to see complications related to corrosion and wear. While these could be related to failure of implant design and/or locking systems, attention should be paid to various intra-operative factors which are known to contribute to these complications. Successful revision surgery for such cases may require partial or complete revision of one or both components or use of ‘taper sleeves’. Attention should be paid to various intra-operative factors which are known to contribute to these complications.

**Clinical Message**

Orthopaedic surgeons dealing with joint reconstruction should be aware of this unique problem. Mettucious attention to surgical steps can help prevent this problem. Faced with the problem, the surgeon should be prepared to revise the components in part or in toto.
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