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What to Learn from this Article?
Presentation and Management of a rare case of floating clavicle.

Abstract

Introduction: Shoulder injuries after high velocity trauma are common. Clavicle is affected in almost half of these cases. Even so, bipolar dislocation of the clavicle is an unusual injury and seldom reported in the literature. Conservative management is used for almost all the cases and only selected cases will undergo surgical treatment.

Case Report: A 52 year old right electrician presented to the emergency department following a fall from a push bicycle. Plain radiographs identified a left first metacarpal (MC) fracture and a bipolar fracture of his right clavicle. Following Fracture Clinic review, significant deformity of the medial clavicle was noted and a CT scan showed anterior dislocation of the medial fragment. Given the degree of deformity and this functional requirement we felt that operative treatment was most appropriate for his unstable medial clavicle fracture dislocation.

Conclusion: Surgical treatment of floating clavicle has an important role in the management of fit and active patients. It is important to identify the mechanism of injury and deforming forces in fractures and only after this to plan to neutralise these where appropriate.
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Introduction
Shoulder injuries after high velocity trauma have been well documented in the literature. Clavicle fractures form 44% of shoulder injuries [1]. Fracture dislocation of medial clavicle with simultaneous fracture of the lateral end or bipolar fracture dislocation of the clavicle is also known as floating clavicle and it is a seldom reported but significant injury [2,3,4].

We report the presentation, treatment and outcome of unilateral floating clavicle in a 52 year old man following a fall from a push bike. Taking into consideration the type of injury and functional requirement we felt that operative treatment was most appropriate for his medial clavicle fracture dislocation.

As in all injuries it is important to identify the mechanism of injury and deforming forces in fractures and to neutralise these where appropriate. Our solution to treat the medial fracture operatively and continue conservative treatment of the lateral side bears out this rational.

Case report
A 52 year old right hand dominant electrician presented to the emergency department following a fall from a push bicycle. He...
was assessed according to ATLS protocol and sent for imaging. Plain radiographs identified a left first metacarpal (MC) fracture and a bipolar fracture of his right clavicle (Fig. 1,2). He was initially immobilized in a thumb spica cast for the 1st MC fracture and treated in a broad arm sling for the right clavicle fracture. Following Fracture Clinic review, significant deformity of the medial clavicle was noted. A CT scan of the right clavicle was performed which showed anterior dislocation of the medial fragment (Fig. 3,4). We proceeded to open exploration and fixation of the medial fragment using a direct approach over the medial aspect of the right clavicle. The fracture was reduced and fixed with a small fragment contoured T plate. Ligamentous complex was found to be stable after fracture reduction and intraarticular cartilage was intact thus anatomical stable reduction of the medial fracture dislocation was achieved (Fig. 5,6). The lateral clavicle fracture was not treated surgically. The patient was placed in a shoulder sling with supervised range of motion exercises without loading for 6 weeks under the physiotherapist’s supervision (pendulum exercises followed by passive glenohumeral motion, static strengthening exercises avoiding abduction of the shoulder. Following satisfactory clinical and radiological evaluation active range of motion was commenced with loading allowed after 10 weeks. Both medial and lateral clavicle fractures underwent clinical and radiological union. The patient returned to work after 4 months, with no residual pain or range of motion deficit. (radiographs at 5 months follow-up, Fig. 7,8).

Discussion

Due to its rarity, there is no consensus in the literature regarding the best method of treatment for floating clavicle. A review of the existing literature would suggest using age and activity demands as criteria in deciding the approach to treatment. Young and active patients tend to be managed surgically (open or closed reduction and fixation using plate and screws or wires) whereas elderly ones were managed conservatively. In the absence of neurovascular deficit most authors advocate conservative treatment, surgical treatment (treating each injury as independent) being reserved for selected patients [5,6,7]. A literature review using Scopus with “clavicle” AND “bipolar” terms retrieved 35 results of which 27 were relevant to the topic discussed here. Publications in languages other than English were not excluded in order to minimise the bias.

A comprehensive review of the published literature on the various treatment options used by different authors is shown in Table 1. In this case the patient required good overhead strength and would require loading his shoulder in the elevated position which would lead to increased loading at the sternoclavicular joint. The obliquity of the medial fracture with its position medial to the clavicular origin of sternocleidomastoid resulted in its instability and necessitated fixation in this case.

Given the level of this patient’s functional requirement we felt that operative treatment was most appropriate for his medial clavicle fracture dislocation. Lateral clavicle fractures are typically due to a direct impact injury with anteroinferior rotation of the humeroscapular complex similar to the injury sustained in acromioclavicular (AC) joint disruptions.

In this pattern the AC joint remains intact but the coracoclavicular (CC) ligaments are disrupted with the clavicle fracture leading to an inferior to superior medial to lateral oblique fracture and superior displacement of the medial clavicle fragment. Failure to reconstruct the CC ligaments or to support them while they heal leads to a lack of stability at the fracture site and non-union which is recognised as being common in lateral third clavicle fractures [8]. In the floating clavicle described in this case, the lateral clavicle fracture has a superior medial to inferior lateral obliquity without displacement of the medial clavicle fragment, the reverse of more commonly seen isolated lateral third clavicle fractures. We interpret this as a shear fracture of the lateral clavicle without disruption of the CC ligaments: a stable injury. As a result conservative treatment was appropriate.

Conclusion

As in all injuries it is important to identify the mechanism of injury and deforming forces in fractures and to neutralise these where appropriate. In our case the fracture pattern of the lateral aspect was shown to be stable by properly examining the radiological images available and documenting the intact coracoclavicular
ligament thus having a high potential for healing in the presence of non-displaced fragments. The decision to surgically treat the medial fracture was taken because of the patient's demanding daily activities that could be satisfied by adopting conservative management.

**Clinical Message**

Surgical management of floating clavicle should be offered to active patients only after identifying the mechanism of injury and deforming forces and the best way to neutralise these. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Reference**